From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To: D

OGILVY RENAULT 1981, avenue McGill College Suite 1600 Montréal, Québec H3A 2Y3 CANADA



PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing

(day/month/year)

13.07.2004

Applicant's or agent's file reference

15228-16pct-1 /

PCT/CA 03/00604

International application No.

International filing date (day/month/year)

30.04.2003

Priority date (day/month/year)

30.04.2002

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

Applicant

ORTHOSOFT INC. et al.

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Authorized Officer

TAYEA. T

Tel. +49 89 2399-7457



Rec'd PCT/FTC 19 OCT 2004

PATENT COOPERATION TRE

PCT

REC'D 1 4 JUL 2004

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR EURTHER ACTION	See Notification of Transmittal of International			
15228-16pct-1	FOR FURTHER ACTION	Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)			
International application No. PCT/CA 03/00604	International filing date (day/mont/ 30.04.2003	nlyear) Priority date (day/month/year) 30.04.2002			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or	ooth national classification and IPC				
A61B19/00	our national olabolitoation and it o				
Applicant					
ORTHOSOFT INC. et al.					
This international preliminary example.	1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining				
Authority and is transmitted to th	e applicant according to Article 36	3.			
2. This REPORT consists of a total	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.				
been amended and are the	basis for this report and/or sheet	f the description, claims and/or drawings which have s containing rectifications made before this Authority			
(see Rule 70.16 and Section	n 607 of the Administrative Instru	ictions under the PCT).			
These annexes consist of a total	of 4 sheets.				
 3. This report contains indications :	olating to the following items:				
_	elating to the following items.				
I ⊠ Basis of the opinion					
II Priority					
	•	ventive step and industrial applicability			
_		to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;			
	tions supporting such statement	to novelly, inventive step of industrial applicability,			
VI 🛘 Certain documents c	ted				
	international application				
VIII 🛚 Certain observations	on the international application				
	-				
Date of submission of the demand	Date of	completion of this report			
05.44.0000					
25.11.2003		2004			
Name and mailing address of the internation	nal Authoriz	zed Officer			
preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office		Special Contraction of the Contr			
D-80298 Munich	Held, (G			
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523	·	one No. +49 89 2399-2248			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/CA 03/00604

I. Basis	of the	re	oort
----------	--------	----	------

١.	With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to
	the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed"
	and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	De	scription, Pages		
1, 3-11		3-11	as originally filed	
	2, 2	2a	received on 04.06.2004 with letter of 04.06.2004	
	Cla	ims, Numbers		
	1-1	3, 27	as originally filed	
	14-	26	received on 04.06.2004 with letter of 04.06.2004	
	Dra	wings, Sheets		
		I-11/I1	as originally filed	
2.	With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
	The	ese elements were av	ailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:	
		the language of a tra	anslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).	
		the language of publ	lication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).	
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.	nslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).	
3.	Witl inte	n regard to any nucle mational preliminary	otide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:	
		contained in the inte	rnational application in written form.	
		filed together with the	e international application in computer readable form.	
furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.			ntly to this Authority in written form.	
furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.			ntly to this Authority in computer readable form.	
		The statement that the international a	he subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure pplication as filed has been furnished.	
		The statement that the listing has been furnitude.	ne information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.	
4.	The	amendments have re	esulted in the cancellation of:	
		the description,	pages:	
		the claims,	Nos.:	
		the drawings,	sheets:	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/CA 03/00604

5.		his report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have een considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).					
		(Any replacement sheet cont report.)	aining	such amend	lments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this		
6.	Add	ditional observations, if necess	ary:				
111	. Noı	n-establishment of opinion v	vith re	gard to nove	elty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
1.	The obv	he questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- ovious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
		the entire international applica	ation,				
	×	claims Nos. 1-14					
		because:					
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 1-14 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):				ims Nos. 1-14 relate to the following subject matter which amination (specify):		
see separate sheet							
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					
the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful could be formed.				ely supported by the description that no meaningful opinion			
	\boxtimes	no international search report	has be	een establish	ned for the said claims Nos. 1-14		
2.	oi a	A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and/ or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative nstructions:					
		the written form has not been	furnist	ned or does r	not comply with the Standard.		
		the computer readable form h	as not	been furnish	ned or does not comply with the Standard.		
٧.	Rea	soned statement under Artic tions and explanations supp	ele 35(orting	2) with rega such stater	ard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;		
١.	State	ement					
	Nov	elty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	15-27		
	Inve	ntive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	15-27		
	Indu	strial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	15-27		

2. Citations and explanations

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/CA 03/00604

see separate sheet

WRITTEN OPINION SEPARATE SHEET

1. Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

The claims 1-14 concern a method for treatment of the human body (surgery). However, according to Rule 67.1(iv) PCT, an International Preliminary Examining Authority is not required to carry out international preliminary examination based on such method claims.

2. Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following document:

D1: WO-A-99/60 939 D2: DE-A-10 031 887

2.1 The document D2 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

The document D2 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of independent claim 15 and shows the following features thereof (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A system for determining the distal cut thickness and posterior cut thickness for a femur in a knee replacement operation comprising a computer memory, a measurement module, a computing module and an output device (column 3, line 40 - column 4, line 67).

The essential differentiating feature of the subject-matter defined in the present independent claim 15 in comparison with the disclosure of document D2 is that the computing module is adapted to calculate a distal cut thickness and a posterior cut thickness by taking the data of the measured extension gap and the flexion gap into account.

The problem to be solved is to optimize the placement of an implant in knee replacement operations. None of the available prior art documents gives any hint to

WRITTEN OPINION SEPARATE SHEET

measure the extension and flexion gap in order to determine the distal cut thickness and the posterior cut thickness. Therefore, the subject-matter defined in claim 15 is considered to fulfill the requirements of Article 33(2) and (3).

- 2.2 Claims 16 27 are dependent on claim 15 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.
- 3. The features of the claims should have been provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).

- 14. A method as claimed in claim 13, wherein said registering said cut comprises creating a plane along said tibial cut on said reference coordinate system and said determining a most posterior point of a reference comprises raising said plane on said tibial cut until said most posterior point of said femur is reached.
- 15. A system for determining a distal cut thickness and posterior cut thickness for a femur in a knee replacement operation, said system comprising:

a computer memory ter holding data relating to size and shape of at least one femoral implant;

a measurement module for measuring an extension gap between said femur and said tibia while in extension and a flexion gap between said femur and said tibia while in flexion and generating measurement data;

a computing module receiving said measurement data and calculating a distal cut thickness and a posterior cut thickness for said femur using said extension gap and said flexion gap and taking into account a distal thickness and posterior thickness of a femoral implant; and

an output device the outputting said measurement data and calculated data calculated by said computing module.

16. A system as claimed in claim 15, wherein said measurement module comprises:

a registration module for registering said femur and a tibia to a reference coordinate system for display on said output device; and

a tracking device for tracking motion of said femur and said tibia in said reference coordinate system.

- 17. A system as claimed in claims 15 or 16, wherein said computing module fixes said posterior cut thickness, said distal thickness, and said posterior thickness and calculates said distal cut thickness.
- 18. A system as claimed in any one of claims 15 to 17, wherein said computing module calculates said distal cut thickness and said posterior cut thickness such that a post-cut gap from said tibia to said femur is equal in extension and in



flexion.

- 19. A system as claimed in any one of claims 15 to 18, wherein said computing module considers a user-input minimum cut thickness to calculate said posterior cut thickness and said distal cut thickness.
- 20. A system as claimed in claim 15, wherein said computing module adds said flexion gap measurement to a femoral implant size constant and subtracts said extension gap measurement to calculate said distal cut thickness.
- 21. A system as claimed in claim 20, wherein said femoral implant size constant takes into account a distal thickness of said femoral implant.
- 22. A system as claimed in claim 21, wherein said femoral implant size constant takes into account a posterior thickness of said femoral implant.
- 23. A system as claimed in claim 15, wherein said measurement module measures an actual mechanical axis of said femur and said tibia and said computing module determines an adjustment to be performed on soft tissues of said knee to obtain soft tissue balancing of said knee such that said knee is substantially aligned with a desired mechanical axis.
- 24. A system as claimed in claim 23, wherein said output device displays said desired mechanical axis superimposed on images corresponding to said femur and tibia.
- 25. A system as claimed in claim 16, wherein said registration module comprises a digitizer to register a surface of said femur and said tibia to said reference coordinate system.
- 26. A system as claimed in claim 25, wherein said registration module comprises markers for attachment to said femur and said tibia.



5

10

15

20

25

30

Traditionally, this task is performed manually by the surgeon and is dependent on the surgeon's expertise.

Therefore, it would be advantageous to design a system which would automatically determine where the cuts on a bone were to be made and to a precision not afforded by even the most skilled surgeon.

(page 2a >

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

An object of the present invention is to optimize the placement of an implant or prosthesis in knee replacement operations in order to extend the lifetime of the implant to its maximum.

According to a first broad aspect of the present invention, there is provided a method for determining a distal cut thickness and posterior cut thickness for a femur in a knee replacement operation, the method comprising: performing a tibial cut on a tibia; performing soft tissue balancing based on a desired limb alignment; measuring an extension gap between the femur and the tibial cut while in extension; measuring a flexion gap between the femur and the tibial cut while in flexion; calculating a distal cut thickness and a posterior cut thickness for the femur using the extension gap and the flexion gap and taking into account a distal thickness and posterior thickness of a femoral implant; and performing a femoral cut according to the distal cut thickness and the posterior cut thickness.

Preferably, the distal cut thickness and the posterior cut thickness are calculated such that a post-cut gap from the tibia to the femur is equal in extension and in flexion, i.e. the gaps are balanced. Also preferably, performing a tibial cut comprises obtaining a tibial cut that is substantially perpendicular to a mechanical axis of said limb and the femoral cut is then performed such that it is parallel to the tibial cut. This way, the gaps are rectangular.

According to a second broad aspect of the present invention, there is provided a system for determining a distal cut thickness and posterior cut thickness for a femur in a knee replacement operation, the system comprising: a computer memory for holding data relating to size and shape of at least one tibial implant and at least one femoral implant; a measurement module, for measuring an extension gap between the femur and the tibia while in extension and a flexion gap between the femur and the tibia while in flexion and generating measurement





Document WO 99/60939 describes a computer-assisted surgical system comprising a computer including three-dimensional models of anatomical structures and a user interface including a position sensing system to register in real-time the relative positions of the anatomical structures of interest and of a surgical tool. Interactions between the tool and the anatomical structure are displayed on a monitor using the three-dimensional models allowing the surgeon to visualize the interaction between the tool and the anatomical structures anytime during the surgical procedure.

5

10